

**NFPA 542/18**

**NEW FOREST NATIONAL PARK AUTHORITY**

**AUTHORITY MEETING – 22 MARCH 2018**

**DRAFT GENERAL FUND REVENUE BUDGET FOR THE FINANCIAL YEAR 2018/19,  
TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY AND MEDIUM TERM POSITION TO 2021**

**Report by:** Nigel Stone, Chief Finance Officer

**Summary:**

This paper sets out draft proposals for a revenue budget for the financial year 2018/19 for consideration by the Authority. The report also provides a medium term projection of the Authority's financial position up to March 2021, based upon the financial strategy outlined within the report. A draft of the paper was considered by the Resources, Audit and Performance Committee (RAPC) on 5 March 2018 and was recommended for approval.

**Recommendations:**

To:

- 1 Approve the General Fund Budget for 2018/19**
- 2 Note that the underlying minimum level for the General Fund Reserve remains at £0.3 million.**
- 3 Note the implications on the Reserves of the proposed budget for 2018/19.**
- 4 Note the Risk Assessment and Section 25 Statement (Section 7).**
- 5 Approve the Treasury Management Strategy in Annex 3**
- 6 Note the Medium Term Financial Plan up to 2020/21 in Annex 4.**

**Resources:**

As set out in the report

**Equality and Diversity Implications:**

There are no equality or diversity implications arising directly from this report.

**Papers:**

<b>NFNPA 542/18:</b>	Cover Paper
<b>NFNPA 542/18 Annex 1:</b>	Programme Fund Detail 2018/19
<b>NFNPA 542/18 Annex 2:</b>	Position of Reserves
<b>NFNPA 542/18 Annex 3:</b>	Treasury Management Strategy up to 2020/21
<b>NFNPA 542/18 Annex 4:</b>	Medium Term Financial Plan 2018-2021

**Contact:**

Nigel Stone, Chief Finance Officer  
Tel: 01590 646655  
Email: [nigel.stone@newforestnpa.gov.uk](mailto:nigel.stone@newforestnpa.gov.uk)

## 1 Purpose

- 1.1 This paper sets out proposals for a revenue budget for the financial year 2018/19 for consideration by the Authority. The report also provides details of the Treasury Management Strategy and a medium term projection of the Authority's financial position up to March 2021, based upon the financial strategy outlined within the report.

## 2 Budget Planning Process

- 2.1 The Chief Executive, Chief Finance Officer and Officers have drafted this budget alongside the workplans for the 2018/19 financial year. These in turn derive from the overall Partnership Plan actions for the Authority and more specifically from the new 2018-21 Business Plan.
- 2.2 On 21 January 2016 Rory Stewart, then Parliamentary Under Secretary of State at Defra, wrote to all National Parks setting out the exact details of the four year grant settlement; for our Authority that equated to:

2016/17	£3,089,334
2017/18	£3,142,471
2018/19	£3,196,521
2019/20	£3,251,501

- 2.3 The figures above include a 1.72% inflationary rise each year and therefore do ensure that our budgets will be protected in real terms over the four year cycle. The grant settlement letter also spoke glowingly of the "huge value" attached to National Parks "across a whole range of important issues".
- 2.4 As previously, our Medium Term Financial Plan has been drafted to cover three financial years and therefore now extends one year beyond the current grant settlement period.

## 3 General Fund Revenue Budget for 2018/19

- 3.1 The proposed General Fund Revenue budget for 2018/19 is based upon the following expenditure and income assumptions. The Programme Fund budget is set out in **Annex 1**, Reserves in **Annex 2** and the Medium Term Financial Plan in **Annex 4**.
- 3.2 Alongside the 'internally' funded budgets set out within this paper (funded by the Defra grant & any fee/income generation), the Authority is continuing to successfully attract significant externally-funded projects - for every £1 the Authority puts into its partnership projects, a further £13 is generated from partner organisations to be spent in the New Forest.

The table below outlines some of the key projects for the forthcoming year which will be spent and monitored alongside our 'internal' budgets:


Project	Funder(s)	Approx Value (£)
Our Past, Our Future (Landscape Partnership Scheme – Delivery Phase)	Heritage Lottery Fund	800,000
Higher Level Stewardship	Verderers / FC	70,000
Land Advice Service	Various	70,000
Pedall	Big Lottery Fund	50,000

Including the amounts above, the total expenditure by the Authority in 2018/19 will likely be around £5m.

3.3 The table and pie chart below display the draft 'internal' 2018/19 Budget (£3.98m) in line with the priorities of the Authority - 'Protect, Enjoy, Prosper & Achieving Excellence':

	Protect*	Enjoy	Prosper	Achieving Excellence
	£000	£000	£000	£000
Employee Costs (allocated)	1,881	448	238	261
Programme Fund	104	138	44	-
Sustainable Development Fund (approximation)	35	10	5	-
Strategy & Planning	90	-	-	-
Central Costs (allocated)	487	116	61	67
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2,597</b>	<b>712</b>	<b>348</b>	<b>328</b>

\*Includes up to £750,000 of costs directly related to the Planning Service.



### 3.4 Income Analysis

3.4.1 The following table shows the estimated income for the year; with comparisons to 2017/18 (any significant variances are then explained in the text below):

	<b>2017/18 Projected Outturn £000's</b>	<b>2018/19 Base Budget £000's</b>	<b>Change 2017/18 to 2018/19 £000's</b>	<b>Change 2017/18 to 2018/19 % 's</b>
<b>Income:</b>				
Defra Grant	3,142	3,196	54	2%
Planning Fees & Grants	325	305	-20	-6%
20% Planning Fee Increase	15	55	40	266%
Shared Service Contributions	324	327	3	1%
Income Generation	10	12	2	20%
Investment and Interest	9	10	1	11%
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3,825</b>	<b>3,905</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>2%</b>
Use of Reserves (see section 4)	242	80	-162	-67%
Carry Forward	45	0	-45	-100%
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>	<b>4,112</b>	<b>3,985</b>	<b>-127</b>	<b>-3%</b>

3.4.2 The core Defra National Park grant for 2018/19 has been increased by £54,000 (1.7%) to £3,196,000 as confirmed in the settlement letter dated 21 January 2016. The grant settlement also included future years up to and including 2019/20 and the 1.7% annual increase is maintained over this time (resulting in a grant of over £3.25m by 2019/20); further detail on medium-term budgets can be found in Section 8 and Annex 4.

3.4.3 Core planning fee income in 2018/19 is projected to be slightly lower than the 2017/18 outturn due to that being boosted by a small number of larger fees. A further £55,000 has been budgeted in 2018/19 due to the recent 20% increase in planning fees and proposal to increase pre-application fees by a similar amount; the agreement for the main increase stated that the funds must be re-invested into the planning service and this will be done through additional staffing resource and in funding a new planning database. Despite the rise in fees it should be noted that the fees do not anywhere near cover the full costs of determining applications.

3.4.4 The Authority will continue to provide a significant number of professional services for other organisations covering areas such as Trees, Ecology, Rangers, Building Design & Conservation and Archaeology.

3.4.5 Income generation, largely from guided walks & talks and some sponsorship, is expected to be around £12,000 in 2018/19.

3.4.6 Interest on cash flow returns are expected to be around £10,000 in 2018/19 due to the current low interest rates, high cash-flow requirements for projects this year and a low-risk investment strategy. The budgeted interest income is explained further in the Treasury Management Strategy which is attached as **Annex 3** to this report.

3.4.7 During the budget setting process, the projected outturn from 2017/18 was calculated and indicated that no significant savings would be available to carry forward this year. There is also a significant reduction in the use of earmarked reserves proposed in 2018/19; the previous year was particularly unusual in that it had significant reserve use for ICT, ranger van, green halo and many other 'one-off' items.

### 3.5 Expenditure Analysis

3.5.1 The following table shows the estimated expenditure for the year; with comparisons to 2017/18 (any significant variances are then explained in the text below):

<b>Expenditure:</b>	<b>2017/18 Projected Outturn £000's</b>	<b>2018/19 Base Budget £000's</b>	<b>Change 2017/18 to 2018/19 £000's</b>	<b>Change 2017/18 to 2018/19 % 's</b>
Employee Costs (Salaries, travel & subs)	2,780	2,828	48	2%
Sustainable Communities Fund	78	50	-28	-36%
Programme Fund	352	286	-66	-19%
Strategy & Planning (inc Appeals)	80	90	10	12%
Member Services	60	60	0	0%
Secretariat	49	56	7	14%
Human Resources	76	77	1	1%
ICT (including R&R Fund)	301	213	-88	-29%
Accommodation	204	205	1	1%
Central Costs (Overheads & SLA's)	132	120	-12	-9%
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURE</b>	<b>4,112</b>	<b>3,985</b>	<b>-127</b>	<b>-3%</b>

3.5.2 Projected employee costs for 2018/19 show a net increase of £48,000 in comparison to 2017/18. The projected 2% pay award for 2018/19 will cost an additional £38,000, scale point rises (for approximately half of employees) a further £20,000 and an increase in pension costs of around £15,000. The net effect of other changes is a saving of around £25,000; this is due to some savings identified through requested reductions in hours from a number of staff (including some following return to work from maternity leave). As previously, the salary budget provides for a 2% 'expected' vacancy savings within the year.

3.5.3 Programme Fund budgets are shown in more detail in **Annex 1**. The £66,000 decrease, when compared to 2017/18, is due to a number of items; firstly the small ranger mobile unit was replaced last year (-£25,000), secondly the Green Halo start-up funding (-£20,000) and thirdly around £21,000 of smaller savings have been identified. Discussions are currently on-going with regard to information services/facilities, including a potential new partnership agreement with the New Forest Centre, a provisional sum of £30,000 has currently been put in this draft budget; RAPC has agreed to extend the existing Centre agreement (£10k per

quarter) for the first six months of the financial year. It is further proposed that the remaining £8,000 set aside in a reserve for use at the Centre be utilised to fund the summer concierge scheme, as it was in 2017/18.

- 3.5.4 The Sustainable Communities Fund remains at £50,000 for the 2018/19 financial year. Of this funding, £30,000 will be a fixed contribution to the Our Past, Our Future scheme (as previously agreed) and £20,000 will be available as smaller grants. The 2017/18 figure included £28,000 from Reserves which had been previously allocated but not claimed.
- 3.5.5 Strategy & Planning budgets will increase for 2018/19 largely due to the additional funding set aside in with regard to the local plan work/examination. Some small savings have also been identified within these budgets.
- 3.5.6 Secretariat costs will rise due to the review of funding for National Park Partnerships Ltd – it was initially envisaged that the cash-flow would be enough to be self-sustaining but it has soon become clear that much of the benefits from the deals would largely come in the form of equipment, marketing/PR and other ‘in-kind’ savings. It has therefore been decided that each NPA will provide £10,000 of cash funding at the start of each year with any returns being made at the end of that year – current overall benefits/returns are already significantly higher than our total cash investment to date.
- 3.5.7 ICT budgets for 2018/19 have been ‘reduced’ by £88,000 this year as the prior year outturn figure was artificially high due to the replacement of all computers, laptops and other equipment that year. The core ICT budget remains consistent year-on-year.

## 4. Reserves

- 4.1 **Annex 2** shows the reserves position, identifying the use of reserves in line with the proposed budget for 2018/19. In summary, the movements in reserves are:

### General Fund Reserve

- A minimum reserve of £300,000 will be maintained in the General Fund Reserve – this was recently reviewed by the Chief Finance Officer and is still considered appropriate (equating to approximately 10% of our National Park Grant).

### Revenue Support Reserve

- The Authority has in place a phased use of this reserve, in line with this strategy, it is estimated that up to £40,000 will be taken from this reserve in 2018/19 to balance the budget.

### Capital / Major Projects Reserve

- The Authority has in place reserves to allow funding of capital and/or major projects, with particular regard to invest-to-save schemes. The fund currently has around £600,000 set aside for such purposes, work will take place during the upcoming year to develop concepts/schemes for this funding and Members will be requested to consider any firm proposals as they arise.

### Other Earmarked Reserves

- It is proposed that £40,000 be utilised from the various smaller earmarked reserves in 2018/19.
- **Developers Contributions** – The Authority still holds a significant balance of Developer Contributions (c£700,000), the majority being those for affordable housing. This balance will be utilised as and when required during the year, for example in regard to further affordable housing developments or as requested by Parish Councils.

## 5. Risks and Uncertainties

5.1 In setting the budget, a number of potential risks / uncertainties are identified, the list for 2018/19 includes:

- **National Park Grant** – Although Defra has given the Authority every indication that its grant for 2018/19 will be £3.196m, this still remains at risk of possible change.
- **Planning Fee Income and Applications** – Although the core fee target has been aligned to recent performance, economic conditions are such that risks over certainty of this income remain. Secondly, an increase in overall application numbers, or one or two major applications, could require additional resources within the planning team.
- **Inflation** – No allowance has been made for inflation within general budgets (largely the Programme Fund), it is expected that any increases should be met through efficiencies. This will be kept under review by the Chief Finance Officer to ensure this policy is not disproportionately affecting impacting outcomes.
- **Salaries** - The budget includes provision for a 2% employee pay award for 2018/19 (costing approx £40,000) and has also been adjusted to allow for a proportion of normal vacancy savings (2% of total salary budget).
- **Legal Costs** - £5,000 has been budgeted for planning appeals; however the actual figure could be significantly higher or lower dependent on circumstances (many of which are beyond our control).
- **Listed Building Urgent Works** – No budgetary provision has been made for urgent works to listed buildings and therefore any required works would have to be initially funded from reserves in anticipation of then being claimed back from the owner.
- **Externally-Funded Projects** – This budget paper sets out how the Authority will spend its own direct funding during 2018/19. In addition there are likely to be a number of externally-funded schemes throughout the year which are project managed by the Authority (e.g. HLS, NFLAS, OPOF etc). These projects will be identified and monitored, as previously, within the Authority's normal monitoring and reporting structure.
- **Opportunities / Investments / New Costs** – As budgets are becoming tighter year-on-year there will be less likelihood of any unforeseen opportunities or costs being funded from savings elsewhere within the annual budget. For the year ahead these could include items around the Brexit agenda, those brought out through the Recreation Management Strategy work or ways we can leverage in further funding to increase awareness of the National Park



'brand' and the visitor experience. We are conscious of many such items but are not in a position at this time to either confirm their impact and/or accurately budget for them. Therefore a higher probability of supplementary budget requests now exists and these would have to be funded either from identified savings, in future budgets or more immediately from general/investment reserves.

All these factors will be explicitly monitored and reported upon in the budgetary control reports for 2018/19.

## **6. Spending plans**

- 6.1 This approach to delivering a "balanced" budget will enable the Authority's to develop its annual business plans positively and builds further on the noteworthy savings and efficiencies made over the past few years whilst continuing to deliver for the Forest.
- 6.2 The budget for 2018/19 has been driven by the Partnership (Management) Plan and the Authority's own new 2018-21 Business Plan ensuring all outcomes are agreed, robust and achievable. These plans were scrutinised by Officers and Members at various stages of their development.
- 6.3 Performance monitoring will continue be undertaken throughout the year to keep Members apprised of progress against plans. The effect of strong budgeting and increased financial monitoring procedures over recent years has shown in reduced variances; for example, in recent years the Authority's outturn has been within 2% of the original budget and 1% of any revised budget.

## **7 Robustness of the Budget and Risk Assessment**

- 7.1 Section 25 of the Local Government Act 2003 imposes a duty on the Chief Finance Officer to report to the budget setting Authority on the following issues:
- 7.2 **Robustness of the estimates:**
  - 7.2.1 The preparation for the budget for 2018/19 has been considered over a number of months in view of two significant factors: the anticipated Government Grant, and ensuring that the resources are used to deliver the programmes Protect, Enjoy, Prosper and Achieving Excellence.
  - 7.2.2 Key elements of the budget are the provisions that are made for inflation on pay and prices. As stated in the report, it has been assumed that there will be a maximum 2% pay award (incremental progression has also been covered in the budget), however budgets have not generally been increased for inflation. Thus any inflationary pressure would have to be accommodated within the approved budget.
  - 7.2.3 In the light of these factors and the level of balances held by the Authority, it is not considered that there is a need to hold a contingency within the budget itself.
  - 7.2.4 The planning for, and determination to achieve, the challenging targets within the budget mean that the budget for 2018/19 can be considered fully robust.

### 7.3 Adequacy of proposed General Fund Reserve

- 7.3.1 The Chief Finance Officer is required to make a recommendation as to the adequacy of the level of reserves held by the Authority.
- 7.3.2 The General Fund Reserve is used to cover general unforeseen items of expenditure that cannot be funded within the base budget in any particular year and as a general contingency against unforeseen events in future years. Following a full review, it is recommended to maintain a minimum General Fund Reserve level of £300,000.
- 7.3.3 The General Fund Reserve will be £300,000 at 1 April 2018. Further reserves have been set aside for Planning Appeal / Misc Risks (£150,000), Revenue Support (c£80,000) and for investment in Capital / Major Projects (c£600,000).
- 7.3.4 In the light of these factors, the level of financial reserves is considered fully adequate.

## 8. Medium Term Financial Position

- 8.1 The Authority's Medium Term Financial Plan, attached as **Annex 4**, supports the Partnership (Management) Plan and Business Plan by ensuring resources are made available to support priorities over the period of the plan.
- 8.2 The financial plan also seeks to ensure that the Authority remains financially sound not only in the medium term but into the future. To achieve this position the Authority should seek to set a balanced budget whereby annual spending plans are matched to resources available.
- 8.3 As mentioned previously, given that the most recent Grant settlement covers the period up to the end of 2019/20, the financial plan now extends beyond this period by one year. For prudence, it has been assumed that the National Park Grant will remain fixed for that additional year.
- 8.4 Members will note from the figures that a further £56-183,000 needs to be found for the 2019/20 and 2020/21 budgets to balance. The primary source of this funding is hoped to be additional income generation, either internally or through the work of National Parks Partnerships Ltd. Should this level of funding not be available, then the Authority would have to look to cut costs.
- 8.5 This current future shortfall is only around 1-4% of annual expenditure and is therefore considered manageable at this stage. One area of concern is the level of salaries as a proportion of Defra grant, estimated at 88% in 2018/19 and continuing to rise; as a medium-term goal, the Authority should look to start reducing this figure down to around 85%. Further work will be done by the Executive Leadership Team through the 2018 calendar year to identify the required income, savings or other changes and Members will be kept fully involved in this process.

**Annex 1**

**DRAFT PROGRAMME FUND 2018/19**

	<b>Budget (£'s)</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>Protect</b>		
Our Past, Our Future (Landscape Partnership)	56,000	Agreed annual contribution to OPOF scheme (to leverage £4m)
Landscape Projects	3,000	Contributions towards issues such as light pollution mapping, reducing signage etc
Archaeological Projects	4,000	Preparation of research strategies, specialist reports and contribution to Portable Antiquities Scheme
Archaeological Work for FC/NFDC	6,000	Additional archaeological work (funded through SLA's)
Ecology & Catchment Co-ordination	14,000	New Forest Catchment Partnership agreement with Freshwater Habitats Trust £12k (to leverage external funding) and small-scale biodiversity projects
Natural Environment Evidence Base	8,500	Service Level Agreements with Hampshire Biodiversity Information Centre and Wiltshire Records Centre for data acquisition, verification, management and dissemination
Solent Forum	2,500	Contributions to Solent Forum (including Natural Environment Group and Solent European Marine Sites)
Woodland Management Projects	5,000	Annual support for NFLAS work in relation to woodland management projects
Green Halo Partnership	5,000	Contribution to wider partnership working
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>104,000</b>	
<b>Enjoy</b>		
Access & Recreation	12,500	SLA for Access Forum £6.5k, access improvement and recreation management consultation work
Education (Travel Grants and Resources)	7,500	School travel grants £7k, education resources/projects, Educators Forum
Boundary Marker Maintenance	2,000	Annual inspection, repairs and replacements
Educational Campaign Resources	7,000	Campaign resources: animal accidents, litter, feeding of animals etc

Interpretation & Information	8,000	Date with Nature contribution £4.5k; on-site special quality interpretation (signs, trails)
Health and Wellbeing	5,000	Contribution to Community First NF Healthy Walks programme, training for walk leaders, first aid kits, mental health programmes (Hart / Dementia walks etc), volunteering for health
NF Centre / Information Services	30,000	Contributions to agreed outcomes
New Forest Show	5,000	Pitch, marquee, tickets, displays and resources
Media & Promotion	23,000	Printing of materials for events, consultations etc; key messages, filming, email newsletter software, photo library licensing, awards prizes (e.g. building design awards)
Publications	24,000	Pocket guide print distribution (250,000 copies); Park Life - 2 issues/year
Partnership Publications	8,000	New Forest Essential Guide (joint with FC) visitor publication
Ranger Projects	7,000	Equipment, vehicles, volunteer expenses
NFDC Ranger Projects	5,000	Equipment, training events etc (funded through SLA)
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>144,000</b>	
<b>Prosper</b>		
New Forest Marque	22,000	Contribution to deliver the New Forest Marque's Business Plan
Sustainability Projects	3,000	Implementing Corporate Sustainability Plan, action on reducing plastic usage
Sustainable Tourism	4,500	Go New Forest membership; Visitor Data (STEAM)
Sustainable Transport	5,000	Contribution towards Waterside / Lepe bus link (matched by HCC)
New Forest Business Partnership	3,500	Membership, Brilliance in Business awards and training events
<b>Subtotal</b>	<b>38,000</b>	
<b>Total Expenditure</b>	<b>286,000</b>	

**Annex 2**

**POSITION OF RESERVES**

	<b>Estimated at 31/03/18 £000</b>	<b>Estimated net use in 2018/19 (if budget spent as per proposals) £000</b>	<b>Estimated at 01/04/2019 £000</b>
<b>General Reserves :</b>			
General Fund Reserve	300	0	300
<b>Earmarked Reserves :</b>			
Planning Appeal Risk Reserve	150	0	150
Revenue Support Reserve	80	(40)	40
Capital / Major Projects Reserve	597	0	597
Developer Contributions	700	0*	700
Sustainable Communities	15	0	15
ICT R&R Fund	40	0	40
Other Reserves	375	(40)	335
	<u>1,957</u>	<u>(80)</u>	<u>1,877</u>
<b>Total</b>	<b><u>2,257</u></b>	<b><u>(80)</u></b>	<b><u>2,177</u></b>

\* Assumes approximately same amount spent and received next year

## Annex 3

### **TREASURY MANAGEMENT STRATEGY TO 2020/21**

#### **1 Introduction**

1.1 CIPFA's Treasury Management Code of Practice and the Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities requires the Authority to produce a Treasury Management Strategy which explains the Authority's borrowing and investment activities and the effective management of associated risks. There are three key legislative requirements that apply to this Authority:

- Treasury Management Strategy Statement (see appendix 1a)
- Investment Strategy (see appendix 1a)
- Prudential Indicators (see appendix 1b)

1.2 The Treasury Management Policy requires an annual strategy to be approved outlining the expected treasury activity for the forthcoming three years prior to each financial year. A report is produced after each year-end to report on actual activity for the year. A further interim monitoring report is produced during the year and taken to Resources, Audit and Performance Committee during November.

1.3 A key requirement of this strategy report is to explain both the risks, and the management of the risks, associated with the treasury service.

1.4 There are specific treasury prudential indicators included in this strategy that need approval.

#### **2. Treasury Management Practice – credit and counterparty risk**

2.1 The MHCLG has issued Guidance on Local Government Investments (the Guidance).

2.2 The key intention of the Guidance, the Code of Practice and the Prudential Code is to maintain the current requirement for authorities to invest prudently, and that priority is given to security and liquidity before yield.

2.3 This Authority has adopted this code of practice and will apply its principles to all investment activity.

### **3. Investment Policy**

3.1 The investment policy summarises the main aims and objectives of the investment function within the treasury management service as follows:

- The main principle governing the Authority's investment criteria is the security and liquidity of its investments, which takes priority over yield. However, the yield will be a consideration subject to adequate security and liquidity.

3.2 After this main principle the Authority will ensure that:

- It has sufficient liquidity in its investments. For this purpose it will set out the maximum periods for which funds may prudently be invested. See Appendix 1a, paragraphs 4.10 to 4.11.
- It maintains a policy covering both the categories of investment types it will invest in, criteria for choosing investment counterparties with adequate security, and monitoring their security. This is set out in the Specified and Non-Specified investment sections at Appendix 1a, paragraphs 4.6 to 4.13.

### **3.3 Strategy Consideration for 2018/19**

3.3.1 Members will be aware that in 2015/16 significant changes were made to the Treasury Strategy in that a 'low risk / low reward' approach was deemed most appropriate given that the costs of attracting larger amounts of interest was prohibitive and the requirement for funds to be available to cash-flow projects which claim in arrears.

3.3.2 In January 2018 the rules surrounding the types of investments made by smaller authorities were tightened further by the government, reclassifying authorities like ours as 'retail investors' as opposed to 'professional investors'.

3.3.3 The overall treasury position has again been reviewed by the Chief Finance Officer and it is recommended that the low risk / low reward approach again be taken for 2018/19. There has been no significant increase in the interest rates available for our size of investments (up to £1m) meaning the administrative costs of attracting them is still considered prohibitive, whilst also the OPOF scheme, in particular, requires funds to cash-flow it during the coming year.

3.3.4 One amendment to the current strategy is however recommended, that the limit on holdings in Money Market Funds (such as our Standard Life account) is reduced from £1.0m to £0.5m as soon as practicable. This follows the ethos of the government changes to reduce the overall risk of authority holdings whilst recognising that we can still utilise these funds as a retail investor.

3.3.5 The portfolio value is estimated to remain between £2.5m and £3.5m for the year ahead. This will largely be held in four main low-risk accounts – the Lloyds current account, Nationwide Instant Access, Standard Life Money Market Fund and Debt Management Account Deposit Facility.

**Annex 3  
Appendix 1a**

**Treasury Management Strategy**

**1 Introduction**

This strategy covers:

- Investment projections
- The expected movement in interest rates
- The investment strategy (in compliance with the guidance)

**2 Investment Projections**

2.1 The Authority's treasury position is highlighted in the following table. This shows estimated levels of temporary investments.

	<b>2018 Estimate £000</b>	<b>2019 Estimate £000</b>	<b>2020 Estimate £000</b>	<b>2021 Estimate £000</b>
Investments at 31 March	3,000	2,600	2,500	2,400
Expected Change in Investments		-400	-100	-100

**3. The Expected Movement in Interest Rates**

3.1 Forecast medium term interest rates are as follows:

Medium-Term Rate Forecasts – Annual Averages %

<b>Year</b>	<b>Base Rate %</b>	<b>3 Month Rate %</b>	<b>12 Month Rate %</b>
2017/18	0.50	0.35	0.60
2018/19	0.75	0.50	0.85
2019/20	1.00	0.80	1.10
2020/21	1.25	1.00	1.35

**4. Investment Strategy to 2020/21**

**4.1 Key Objectives**

The key intention of the investment guidance is to maintain the current requirement to invest prudently, and that priority is given to security and liquidity before yield.

**4.2 Risk Benchmarking**

A requirement of the Code is the consideration and approval of security and liquidity benchmarks.



Yield benchmarks are factual and used widely to assess investment performance. Security and liquidity benchmarks are subjective in nature. See Appendix 1c.

#### 4.2.1 Yield

Local measures of yield benchmarks are:

- Investments – returns above the 7 day LIBID rate

#### 4.2.2 Liquidity

In respect of this area the Authority seeks to maintain:

- Bank overdraft - £100,000.

#### 4.3 Investment Counterparty Selection Criteria (Security)

The primary principle governing the Authority's investment criteria is the security of its investments, although the yield or return on the investment is also a key consideration. After this main principle the Authority will ensure that:

- It maintains a policy covering the categories of investment types it will invest in, criteria for choosing investment counterparties with adequate security, and the monitoring of their security. This is set out in the Specified and Non-Specified investment sections below.
- It has sufficient liquidity in its investments. For this purpose it will set out procedures for determining the maximum periods for which funds may prudently be committed.

#### 4.4 Credit Ratings

The Authority uses online reports from credit rating agencies, to determine which counterparties to use.

Information considered includes:

- Credit watches and credit outlooks from credit rating agencies;
- CDS spreads to give early warning of likely changes in credit ratings;
- Sovereign ratings to select counterparties from only the most creditworthy countries.

When an investment is proposed, credit rating information is researched for all potential counterparties that comply with the criteria below. All rating information is considered before making an investment.

#### 4.5 The Authority will invest in accordance with paragraphs 4.6 to 4.13 below.

This strategy may be reviewed at any time.

### Specified Investments

- 4.6 These investments are made in sterling and have durations of one year or less.
- 4.7 These are low risk investments where the possibility of loss of principal or investment income is minimal. Specified investments include:
- UK Government - (to include the Debt Management Office)
  - Local Authorities - (to include Parish, Police, Fire and Rescue etc.)
  - Banks and Building Societies – The Authority will only use UK based Banks and Building Societies and these will generally be:
    - Lloyds TSB Bank
    - Barclays Bank
    - National Westminster Bank
    - HSBC
    - Nationwide Building Society
    - Royal Bank of Scotland Group

and which must have at least the short - term credit ratings in the table below.

Credit Rating Agency	Short – Term Credit Rating
Fitch	F-1
Moody's	P-1
Standard & Poors	A-1

- Money Market Funds (MMF) – which will be AAA rated by a credit rating agency. AAA rating means that the chances of default are considered minimal, however the holding limit for this type of account is lower (see 4.11).

A MMF is a pool of cash managed by an independent fund management company. Investors purchase units of the fund which are held on their behalf in a custody account. The Authority has instant access to all cash held in the MMF.

### Non-Specified Investments

- 4.8 Non – Specified investments are any other type of permissible investment not defined as specified above and include:
- UK Government Gilts with a maturity of greater than one year.
  - Local Authorities, etc. with a maturity of greater than one year.
  - Banks and Building Societies. The Authority will only use UK based Banks and Building Societies and these will generally be:–
    - Lloyds TSB Bank
    - Barclays Bank
    - National Westminster Bank
    - HSBC

- Nationwide Building Society
- Royal Bank of Scotland

with a maturity of more than one year which will have, as a minimum, the following Fitch credit ratings, and equivalent Moody's and Standard and Poors credit ratings:

Long-Term Credit Rating	Short-Term Credit Rating	Support	Maximum Period of Investment
A (+/-)	F-1	3	Up to 2 years

4.9 The longer-term investments will also need to fit in with the principles of the treasury prudential indicator for total sums invested for periods longer than 364 days as shown at 4.21 below.

4.10 Authority's Own Bank (currently Lloyds):

4.10.1 The maximum limit available to be held by the Authority at its own bank is £2m, of which a maximum of £1m can be invested without instant access.

4.10.2 If the Authority's own bank falls below the above criteria the bank will still be used for normal banking transactions. If this happens balances held on account will be kept to a minimum working amount.

4.11 The Authority will try to maximise the spread of investments so that ideally no more than 40% of the current total sum invested is deposited with any one organisation at the time of investment. However the maximum investment may be £1.0m with any eligible counterparty or maximum 'holdings' (i.e. instant access funds) which will be set at £1.5m per counterparty; Money Market Funds will be specifically limited to £0.5m.

4.12 The use of longer-term investments will be within the non-specified investment category. These investments will only be used according to the parameters shown at 4.21 below and will be limited by the core funds available depending on the Authority's need for liquidity.

4.13 Use of additional information other than credit ratings

The Code of Practice requires the Authority to supplement credit rating information. Market information (for example Credit Default Swaps, equity prices, and negative rating watches/outlooks) will be applied to compare the relative security of investment counterparties.

### **Exposure to Investment Risk**

4.14 The Authority had £500,000 invested with a failed Icelandic Bank (Heritable), interest of £17,000 was also due when it was placed into administration in October 2008. To date a total of £506,236 (98%) has been repaid and there is no provision being made for any further receipts at this time.

### The Monitoring of Investment Counterparties

- 4.15 The credit rating of counterparties will be monitored regularly, as a policy at least monthly.
- 4.16 Any counterparty failing to meet the criteria will be removed from the list. And if required new counterparties which meet the criteria will be added to the list.
- 4.17 Due care will be taken to consider the country, group and sector exposure of the Authority's investments.

### Treasury Management Prudential Indicators and Limits on Activity.

- 4.18 The purpose of treasury prudential indicators is to contain the activity of the treasury function within certain limits, thereby managing risk and reducing the impact of an adverse movement in interest rates.
- 4.19 No indicators for borrowing have been set as no borrowing will be undertaken unless it is temporary borrowing for cash flow purposes.
- 4.20 The limits for investment are:
- Maximum principal funds invested –  
These limits are set to help identify the total sums available for investment over the year.
  - Total principal funds invested for more than 364 days –  
These limits are based on the availability of investments after each year-end. This is the amount of funds that are not needed for revenue or capital purposes over the short term and could be invested for longer periods if advantageous to and approved by the Authority.
  - Fixed and variable interest rate investments –  
Limits are included for fixed and variable interest rate investments to contain the volatility of the investments.
  - Maturity structure of investments –  
These limits are set to indicate the maturity structure of investments and to ensure that authorities invest in a cautious manner.

- 4.21 These limits are shown in the following table: -

<b>Investments</b>	<b>2017/18 Upper</b>	<b>2018/19 Upper</b>	<b>2019/20 Upper</b>			
Estimated Maximum sums invested	£4.0m	£4.0m	£4.0m			
Estimated Maximum sums that could be invested for more than 364 days	£1.0m	£1.0m	£1.0m			
Limits - fixed interest rates	100%	100%	100%			
Limits - variable interest rates	100%	100%	100%			
<b>Maturity Structure of investments</b>						
	<b>Lower</b>	<b>Upper</b>	<b>Lower</b>	<b>Upper</b>	<b>Lower</b>	<b>Upper</b>
Under 12 months	0%	100%	0%	100%	0%	100%
12 months to 2 years	£0m	£1m	£0m	£1m	£0m	£1m

### Sensitivity to Interest Rate Movements

- 4.22 The Authority is required to disclose the impact of risks on the treasury management activity. Whilst most of the risks facing the treasury management service are addressed elsewhere in this report the impact of interest rate risk is not quantified.

The table below highlights the estimated impact of a 0.5% increase/decrease in all interest rates to treasury management costs/income for next year

<b>Revenue Budgets</b>	<b>2018/19 Estimated @ 0.4% £000</b>	<b>2018/19 Estimated + 0.5% £000</b>	<b>2018/19 Estimated -0.5% £000</b>
Investment income	10	22	0

### Use of Money Brokers

- 4.23 The Authority can use money brokers to place investments with counterparties. The broker has access to the money markets and will be able to determine the best rate of investment for the Authority given the counterparties that the Authority can invest with and type of investment required.
- 4.24 Several highly rated organisations now conduct their own treasury transactions and no longer use money brokers. The Authority may place investments by dealing directly with these counterparties.

## Annex 3 Appendix 1b

### Prudential Indicators 2018/19 TO 2020/21

#### 1 Introduction

- 1.1 Under the Prudential Code the Authority must adopt and monitor a range of indicators.

These indicators are to cover a three year period from the current financial year.

The New Forest National Park Authority is not expected to borrow to finance capital expenditure. Therefore, all indicators below apply only to temporary borrowing for cash flow purposes, and temporary investments.

#### 2 Capital Expenditure

##### Capital Expenditure

- 2.1 The following table shows the current forecast for capital expenditure for current and future years.

	2017/18 Current Forecast £000	2018/19 Current Estimate £000	2019/20 Current Estimate £000	2020/21 Current Estimate £000
New Forest National Park Authority	1,000	1,000	250	250

##### The Authority's Resources and the Investment Position

- 2.2 The use of reserves to finance capital expenditure will have an impact on investments unless resources are supplemented each year from other sources such as asset sales. The following table shows estimates of year end balances for each resource.

Estimated Year-End Resources	2017/18 Forecast £000	2018/19 Estimate £000	2019/20 Estimate £000	2020/21 Estimate £000
General Fund Balances	300	300	300	300
Earmarked Reserves	1,877	1,850	1,800	1,700
<b>Investments at 31 March</b>	<b>2,177</b>	<b>2,150</b>	<b>2,100</b>	<b>2,000</b>

#### 3 Limits to Borrowing Activity

Although the Authority is not expected to borrow during the year the code requires that two key limits are approved.

### The Authorised Limit

- 3.1 This is the limit beyond which borrowing is prohibited and needs to be set by the Authority for each financial year even though it is not anticipated that the Authority will need to borrow other than short term loans for cash flow purposes. It reflects the level of borrowing that, while not desired or sustainable, could be required in the short-term.

Authorised limit for external debt	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m	2019/20 Estimate £m	2020/21 Estimate £m
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>2</b>

### The Operational Boundary

- 3.2 This indicator is based on the probable external debt during the course of the year. It is not a limit and the borrowing of the Authority could vary around this boundary for short times during the year. It is a warning indicator to help ensure that the Authorised Limit is not breached.

Operational boundary for external debt	2017/18 Estimate £m	2018/19 Estimate £m	2019/20 Estimate £m	2020/21 Estimate £m
<b>Total</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>

## **4. Affordability Indicators**

- 4.1 All of the affordability indicators recommended by the Prudential Code are to assess the affordability of the Authority's capital programme.

### Financing Costs

- 4.2 This section shows the cost of financing the Authority's capital programme. The following table shows the estimated financing costs for the period from 2017/18 to 2020/21.

Financing Costs	2017/18 Revised £000	2018/19 Estimate £000	2019/20 Estimate £000	2020/21 Estimate £000
Revenue Contribution to Fund Capital	1,000	1,000	250	250

## **Annex 3 Appendix 1c**

### **SECURITY, LIQUIDITY AND YIELD BENCHMARKING**

#### **1. Benchmarking and Monitoring Security, Liquidity and Yield in the Investment Service**

These benchmarks are targets and so may be breached from time to time. Any breach will be reported, with supporting reasons in the Annual Treasury Report.

##### **1.1 Yield**

These benchmarks are currently widely used to assess investment performance. Local measures of yield benchmarks are shown at paragraph 4.2.1 in the Treasury Management Strategy at Appendix 1a:

Security and liquidity benchmarks are already intrinsic to the approved treasury strategy through the counterparty selection criteria and some of the prudential indicators. Proposed benchmarks for the cash type investments are shown in the main body of Appendix 1a and these will form the basis of future reporting in this area.

##### **1.2 Liquidity**

This is defined as “having adequate, though not excessive cash resources, borrowing arrangements, overdrafts or standby facilities to enable it at all times to have the level of funds available to it which are necessary for the achievement of its business objectives” (CIPFA Treasury Management Code of Practice).

##### **1.3 Security of the investments**

Security is currently evidenced by the application of minimum credit quality criteria to investment counterparties, primarily through the use of credit ratings supplied by the three main credit rating agencies (Fitch, Moody’s and Standard and Poors).

These benchmarks are embodied in the criteria for selecting cash investment counterparties and these will be monitored and reported in the Investment Annual Report.



**Annex 3  
Appendix 1d**

**PORTFOLIO OF HOLDINGS AND INVESTMENTS AT  
31 JANUARY 2018**

<b>Counterparty</b>	<b>Holding / Investment</b>	<b>Interest Rate</b>	<b>Investment Date</b>	<b>Maturity Date</b>
	<b>£</b>	<b>%</b>		
Debt Management Account Deposit Facility (Gov't)	750,000	0.15	23/01/17	23/02/17
Standard Life - Money Market Fund	1,000,000	0.19	Instant Access	
Lloyds Current Account	1,173,170	0.15	Instant Access	
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,923,170</b>			

**Annex 4**

**Medium Term Financial Plan**

	<b>2017/18 Projected Outturn £000's</b>	<b>2018/19 Estimate £000's</b>	<b>2019/20 Estimate £000's</b>	<b>2020/21 Estimate £000's</b>
<b><u>Income</u></b>				
DEFRA Grant	3,142	3,196	3,251	3,251
Planning Fees & Grants	340	360	360	360
Shared Service Contributions	324	327	272	233
Income Generation	10	12	12	12
Investment Interest	9	10	11	12
Use of Carry Forward	45	0	0	0
Use of Earmarked Reserves	242	80	50	39
<b>TOTAL INCOME</b>	<b>4,112</b>	<b>3,985</b>	<b>3,956</b>	<b>3,907</b>
<b><u>Expenditure</u></b>				
<b>Employee Costs</b>	<b>2,780</b>	<b>2,828</b>	<b>2,922</b>	<b>2,991</b>
Salaries as % of Grant	88%	88%	90%	92%
<b>Sustainable Communities Fund</b>	<b>78</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
Planning	80	90	50	50
Secretariat	49	56	57	58
Member Services	60	60	61	62
Core Running Costs	713	615	622	629
<b>Other subtotal</b>	<b>902</b>	<b>821</b>	<b>790</b>	<b>799</b>
<b>TOTAL EXPENDITURE</b>	<b>3,760</b>	<b>3,699</b>	<b>3,732</b>	<b>3,810</b>
<b>Annual Funds Available for Programme Work</b>	<b>352</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>97</b>
<b>Balanced Budget</b>	<b>4,112</b>	<b>3,985</b>	<b>3,956</b>	<b>3,907</b>

	<b>2017/18 Projected Outturn £000s</b>	<b>2018/19 Estimate £000s</b>	<b>2019/20 Estimate £000s</b>	<b>2020/21 Estimate £000s</b>
<b>Potential Programme Fund</b>				
Annual Funds Available for Programme Work	352	286	224	97
Minimum Savings Required (to have an adequate Programme Fund*)	0	0	56	183
	<b>352</b>	<b>286</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>280</b>

\* This does not imply that any required savings would automatically come from the Programme Fund budget but is for illustrative purposes only (see para 8.4 in the main report).